

ì

# Maitland LEP 2011 – 46 – 48 Banks Street East Maitland

Proposal Title :	Maitland LEP 2011 – 46 – 48 I	Banks Street East Maitland	
Proposal Summary :		to allow the expansion of an exinder Schedule 1 Additional Perm	isting funeral home and mortuary nitted Uses.
PP Number:	PP_2015_MAITL_001_00	Dop File No :	15/08934
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	22-May-2015	LGA covered :	Maitland
Region :	Hunter	RPA :	Maitland City Council
State Electorate :	MAITLAND	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street : 46	- 48 Banks St		
Suburb : Ea	st Maitland City :	Maitland	Postcode : 2323
Land Parcel : Lo	t 1 DP 199405 and Lot 1 DP 7167	770	
DoP Planning Offi	icer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Paul Maher		
Contact Number :	0249042719		
Contact Email :	paul.maher@planning.nsw.go	v.au	
RPA Contact Deta	ails		
Contact Name :	Steve Daniels		
Contact Number :	0249349729		
Contact Email :	steved@maitland.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Mana	ager Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			
Land Release Dat	a		
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Lower Hunter Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes

## Maitland LEP 2011 – 46 – 48 Banks Street East Maitland

IDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release Ha) :	0.01	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Employment Land
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	12
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :	include the funeral home	tions in preparing the planning pro e and mortuary land use in the R1 ( al use on the subject site.	
	allowed the expansion o	serting the land use in Schedule 1 f the existing operation without un allowing funeral homes across all	dermining the B6 Enterprise
lequacy Assessmer	it		
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ot	pjectives provided? Yes		
Comment :		able the expansion of an existing f bourne Street local renewal corride	

## Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

Explanation of provisions

The explanation of provisions is adequate as it provides the parameters to amend Maitland LEP 2011.

The Planning Proposal seeks to amend Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses by inserting;

8. Use of certain land at 46 and 48 Banks Street, East Maitland

(1) This clause applies to Lot 1 DP 199405 and Lot 1 DP 716770 being 46 - 48 Banks Street, East Maitland.

(2) Development for the purpose of a funeral home and mortuary is permitted with consent.

## Maitland LEP 2011 – 46 – 48 Banks Street East Maitland

## Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S 117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation

3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport

6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements

6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

6.3 Site Specific Provisions

7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified?

If No, explain :

S117 DIRECTIONS

1.1 Business and Industrial zones does not apply because it will not affect land in a business or industrial zone.

2.3 Heritage Conservation – the planning proposal is consistent with Direction 2.3 as the land is protected by the Heritage Conservation Area under LEP 2011. Any potential impact on surrounding heritage items will be managed through clause 5.10 Heritage Conservation under LEP 2011.

3.1 - Residential Zones – the planning proposal is inconsistent with Direction 3.1 as it does not include provisions that will encourage the provision of housing as it will facilitate the expansion of a funeral home and mortuary on residential land. The inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance as the land use zone will remain R1 General Residential which will allow residential development should the operation cease. The agreement of the Secretary's delegate to the inconsistency is required.

4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils – although the site contains Class 5 ASS the planning proposal is consistent with Direction 4.1 as it is the least affected class of works requiring development consent. Should the criteria for Class 5 be triggered then Clause 7.1 Acid Sulfate Soils will adequately manage any adverse environmental impacts.

6.1 Site Specific Provisions – the planning proposal is inconsistent with Direction 6.1 as it will allow a particular development to be carried out without changing the zone or amending the land use table. The inconsistency is of minor significance as the land use is an expansion of an existing operation into an adjoining allotment. This approach allows the land to return to a residential use in line with Council's strategic planning for East Maitland. The agreement of the Secretary's delegate to the inconsistency is required.

## STATE POLICIES

SEPP 64 Advertising and Signage prohibits advertisements on land that is described as a heritage area and residential. Any signage that may be required as part of the expansion would be considered appropriately under SEPP 64 and Maitland DCP.

s mapping provided? N	10	
Comment :	There are no maps required for the planning proposal as it an However, it is recommended that a locality map be provided f clarify the context of the site for the public.	nends the instrument only. or exhibition purposes to
	There is no Additional Permitted Uses map in Maitland LEP 20	011.
Community consult	ation - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consult	tation been proposed? Yes	
Comment :	Council has proposed a 14 day consultation period. This is c given the proposal is of a minor nature.	onsidered appropriate
Additional Director	General's requirements	
Are there any additiona	al Director General's requirements? Yes	
If Yes, reasons :	PROJECT TIMELINE	
	Council's timeline nominates the Planning Proposal's comple after the Gateway Determination. It is considered that a six (6 timeframe is appropriate which require the Planning Proposa November 2015.	6) month completion
	DELEGATION AUTHORISATION	ž.
	Council has accepted plan-making delegation for PPs genera been specifically requested for this PP. The reason for this is	lly. However it has not not discussed by Council.
Overall adequacy o	f the proposal	
Does the proposal mee	et the adequacy criteria? Yes	
Does the proposal mee If No, comment :	et the adequacy criteria? <b>Yes</b>	
If No, comment :		
If No, comment : oposal Assessment		
If No, comment : oposal Assessment		
If No, comment : oposal Assessment Principal LEP:		
If No, comment : pposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	t The principal Maitland LEP was gazetted in 2011.	
If No, comment : oposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	t The principal Maitland LEP was gazetted in 2011.	e vehicle access more
If No, comment : oposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteri Need for planning	t The principal Maitland LEP was gazetted in 2011. ia The funeral home and mortuary has a need to expand and make	ional Permitted Uses will bourne Street but will . The impact of this
If No, comment : oposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteri Need for planning	t The principal Maitland LEP was gazetted in 2011. The funeral home and mortuary has a need to expand and make efficient and safer. The proposed option of including the site in Schedule 1 – Addit maintain the integrity of the B6 Enterprise Corridor zone on Mel however encroach on the existing R1 General Residential Zone. encroachment can be gauged through consultation with adjoini	ional Permitted Uses will bourne Street but will . The impact of this ing and surrounding

## Maitland LEP 2011 – 46 – 48 Banks Street East Maitland

back to land uses permitted in the R1 zone.

A planning proposal is considered the most effective and timely method available to reclassify and rezone the land and achieve the objectives of the proposal.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

LOWER HUNTER REGIONAL STRATEGY 2006 (LHRS)

The PP will allow the existing funeral home and mortuary to expand making the operation more sustainable and more equipped to maintain jobs. Therefore, the proposal is considered consistent with the Regional Strategy as it strengthens jobs in the tertiary sector within one of the Region's major centres.

MAITLAND CENTRES STRATEGY 2009 (MCS)

Melbourne Street local renewal corridor is located two blocks West of Banks Street and therefore the site his within the commercial catchment of the corridor but is not in the corridor itself. To zone the subject site as a Business zone would be inconsistent with MCS.

The existing operation is however within the New England Highway corridor NEHC. The intention is for the NEHC to operate as a high order transport corridor and to limit strip development to reduce traffic conflicts. The existing funeral home has been operating on the site since 1989 and does not therefore represent an increase in strip development. The proposed expansion onto the adjoining property on Banks Street will improve safe egress from the site onto the NEH and reduce traffic conflicts inherent in the existing operation.

Environmental social economic impacts :

#### ENVIRONMENTAL

The site is cleared of native vegetation and has no potential for adverse impact on critical habitat. Mortuaries are not considered a hazardous or offensive industry under SEPP 33 Hazardous and Offensive Development.

The Public Health Act 1991 and Public Health (Disposal of Bodies) Regulation 2002 regulates the handling of bodies both by funeral industry professionals and by members of the public. The regulation expresses rules rather than explaining how the deceased are to be disposed.

The regulations controls among other aspects; premises and facilities for handling bodies, waste disposal, handling of bodies including embalming, viewing, the use of body bags and storage of bodies.

#### HERITAGE

The site is located in the Heritage Conservation Area (HCA) and Maitland LEP 2011 identifies 8 local heritage items near the subject site. Any potential adverse impacts on the immediate precinct or the HCA as a result of a future development application can be managed through clause 5.10 Heritage conservation.

### TRAFFIC

No. 46 Banks Street has local street frontage and a battle axe handle allowing access onto the New England Highway (NEH) behind 48 Banks Street. Supporting expansion of the funeral home and mortuary will allow vehicles to exit in a forward direction onto the Highway. It is recommended that the Planning Proposal be referred to Roads and Maritime Services for comment on potential impacts on the NEH.

#### SOCIAL

The expansion of a well-established funeral business will provide a continued service to the community. There are potential adverse impacts on adjoining residential property owners who require the opportunity to comment on the prospect of the funeral home operation encroaching on residential amenity albeit and an established operation.

#### ECONOMIC

Minor		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days	
6 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Transport for NSW -	Roads and	Maritime Services		
PAC required?	No			
proceed ?	Yes			
: No				
dies, if required.				
ultations, if required : <b>required</b>				
ng of state infrastructu	ire relevant	to this plan? <b>No</b>		
		DocumentType N	lame	Is Public
pdf		Proposal Determination D	ocument	Yes Yes
nendation			-	
ng proposal supported	l at this stag	ge : Recommended with Co	nditions	
1.1 Business and I	ndustrial Z	ones		
-		Transport		
6.3 Site Specific Pr	ovisions			
		Ass President Purchase		
7.1 Implementation		is required under sections		90 1
	6 months Transport for NSW - PAC required? PAC required? Proceed ? No dies, if required. : ultations, if required : required ng of state infrastructu pdf nendation ng proposal supported 1.1 Business and II 2.3 Heritage Conse 3.4 Integrating Lan 6.1 Approval and F	6 months Transport for NSW - Roads and PAC required? No proceed ? Yes : No dies, if required. : ultations, if required : required ng of state infrastructure relevant pdf nendation ng proposal supported at this stag 1.1 Business and Industrial Z 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.4 Integrating Land Use and 6.1 Approval and Referral Rece	Period : 6 months Delegation : Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services PAC required? No proceed ? Yes : No dies, if required : : ultations, if required : required ng of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No DocumentType N Proposal pdf Proposal Determination D mendation ng proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Co 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones	Period : 6 months Delegation : RPA Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services PAC required? No rroceed ? Yes : No dies, if required : : utations, if required : : required ng of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No DocumentType Name Proposal Determination Document nendation ng proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements

Maitland LEP 2011 – 46	5 – 48 Banks Street East Maitland	
	Preparing LEPs (Planning & Infrastructure 2013) and must be made publicly available for a minimum of 14 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs ( Planning & Infrastructure 2013).	
	2. Consultation is required with the Roads and Maritime Services under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act. Roads and Maritime Services is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.	
	3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).	
	4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 6 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.	
	5. Provide a locality map identifying the site for public exhibition.	
Supporting Reasons	Supporting reasons	
	1. The PP will enable an established business to expand and to continue to provide employment and services to the community.	
Signature:	Ben Holmes	
Printed Name:	BEN HOLMES Date: 11/6/2015	